

# IAB Appeal Question

---

- The IAB has received an appeal from Tony Hain, appealing an IESG decision
- The IAB interpreted this appeal to be as follows:

The appeal is that the IESG, in upholding the IPv6 Working Group chairs' and Internet Area ADs' decisions relating to the declaration of consensus on the issue of deprecation of site local addresses in the IPv6 address architecture, made an incorrect decision.

# The Appeal

---

- The appeal concerns the declaration of consensus by the working group concerning the deprecation of site-local from the IPv6 Address Architecture
- This is a process appeal concerning the procedure used by a working group to reach a rough consensus in terms of
  - the conduct of the meeting
  - the material available to working group members relating to a consensus call
  - the clarity of the consensus question

# IAB Review

---

- The IAB's review has included a review of
  - The documented IETF's standards procedures and working group procedures
    - RFC 2026 and RFC 2148
  - The IESG's review of the matter
  - The video recording of the IETF 56 meeting of the IPv6 WG where the consensus question was put to the WG
  - IPv6 WG email archive
  - IETF mail on the thread of this IAB appeal

# The IAB's Conclusions

---

- The WG Chairs acted within the parameters of the documented procedures in putting the consensus question to the working group
- The consensus decision was correctly reached, and reflected the rough consensus of the working group on this question
- Deprecation of a technology requires no additional Standards Process actions when compared to adoption of a technology
- The IESG review of the appeal was diligently undertaken
- The IESG's decision was consistent with the data the IESG gathered and consistent with documented IETF procedures

# IAB Decision

---

The IAB finds that the IESG decision, namely to uphold the IPv6 Working Group chairs' and Internet Area ADs' decisions relating to the declaration of consensus on the issue of deprecation of site local addresses in the IPv6 address architecture, was consistent with the available evidence and consistent with documented IETF process.

The IAB upholds the IESG decision in this matter.

Full appeal response: <http://www.iab.org/appeals>